

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/675,042	09/29/2003		Darryl G. Walker	W0002D3	5425	
7590 11/16/2004				EXAM	EXAMINER	
Bradley T. Sako				TRAN, ANDREW Q		
WALKER & S	AKO, L	LLP				
Suite 235				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
300 South First	t Street		2824			
San Jose, CA 95113				DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 33-53 is/are pending in the application.	·						
Andrew Q. Tran  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover shee  Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, mafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6)  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become a period patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 29 September 2003.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 33-53 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 33-53 is/are rejected.	2824 eet with the correspondence address						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover shee Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, mafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6).  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to beconsory reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 September 2003.  2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935.  Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 33-53 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) 33-53 is/are rejected.	et with the correspondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, n after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum in If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 29 September 2003.  2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935.  Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 33-53 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) 33-53 is/are rejected.	·						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, mater SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to becomy reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 29 September 2003.  2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935.  Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 33-53 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) 33-53 is/are rejected.	3 MONTH(S) FROM						
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 September 2003.  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935  Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 33-53 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 33-53 is/are rejected.	of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
<ul> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935</li> <li>Disposition of Claims</li> <li>4) ☑ Claim(s) 33-53 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☑ Claim(s) 33-53 is/are rejected.</li> </ul>							
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 33-53 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 33-53 is/are rejected.</li> </ul>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
<ul> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 33-53 is/are rejected.</li> </ul>							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>33-53</u> is/are rejected.							
Application Papers							
<ul> <li>9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10)  The drawing(s) filed on 29 September 2003 is/are: a)  accepted or Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in ab Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attachment of the drawing sheet (s) including the correction is required if the drawing the correction is required in the drawing the correction in the drawing the correction is required in the drawing the correction in the drawing the correction is required in the drawing the correction in the drawing the correction is required in the drawing the correction in the drawing the correction in the drawing the correction is required in the drawing the correction in the drawing the correction in the drawing the correction is required in the drawing the correction in the dra</li></ul>	peyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). swing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/29/2003.  4) Intervented Paper No(s)/Mail Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/29/2003.							

Application/Control Number: 10/675,042

Art Unit: 2824

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 33-53 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4, 7, 10-12, 15 and 17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,064,589 to Walker on May 16, 2000. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the conflicting claims are drawn to substantially the same random access memory having a double-gate access transistor coupled to a storage capacitor.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 33-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

from claim 33 to claim 34.

Claim 33 is incomplete as failing to recite the interconnections between claimed elements. Specifically, "a data storage node" (claim 33, line 2) and "a pass transistor" (claim 33, line 3) are not recited to be interconnected to each other. Note that phrases such as "to provide charge transfer to and from the data storage node", which have been held as functional, serve little if not nothing to render a complete circuit structure. Further in claim 41, line 2, the terms "the first channel side gate" and "the second channel side gate" lack proper antecedent bases. It is suggested to change said terms to read —the first channel side control gate—and—the second channel side control gate—and—the second channel side control gate—the first channel side control gate—and—the second channel side control gate—the first channel side control gate—and—the second channel side control gate—the first channel side control gate—and—the second channel side control gate—the first channel side control gate—and—the second channel side control gate—the suggested to change the dependency of claim 53

Page 3

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Q. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1885. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 8:30 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard T. Elms can be reached on (571) 272-1869. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2824

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew Q. Tran Primary Examiner Art Unit 2824

at November 15, 2004